Evaluation of signs of immune or metabolic disturbances among diabetic patients with positive islet cell antibodies

Zhian M. Ibrahim Dezayee*	BSc, PhD
Nabeel E. Waheda*	BSc, PhD
Sabria M. Said Al- Salihi*	BSc, PhD

Summary:

Background: Autoantibodies to islet cell antigens are known predictors of type 1 diabetes and detected in latent autoimmune diabetes in adults.

Objectives: This study aimed to identify the metabolic and immunological disturbances in diabetic patients with positive and negative islet cell antibodies (ICAs)

Fac Med Baghdadpatients with positive and negative islet cell antibodies (ICAs)2012; Vol. 54, No. 1Materials and methods: A total number of 235 known cases of diabetes mellitus type 1 (160) andReceived Oct, 2011type 2 diabetes (75) were admitted in the study. Serum ICA and immunoglobulins (IgA, IgM, IgG)as well lipid profile were measured.

Results: Positive ICAs was found in 40 out of 120 T1D (33.3%) and 28 out of 75 T2D (37.3%). All the patients were poorly controlled diabetes with the evidence of significant high HbA1c%. There were no significant differences in the lipid profile or immunoglobulin levels between positive and negative ICAs in T1D and T2D.

Conclusions: Autoimmunity in term of positive ICA does not play a role in metabolic or immunologic disturbances that associated with T1D and T2D.

Key words: Islet cell antibodies, lipid profile, immunoglobulins

Introduction:

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is a chronic autoimmune disease with a long prodrome, which is characterized by dysfunction and ultimately destruction of pancreatic beta-cells. Autoantibodies to islet cell antigens are known predictors of type 1 diabetes and are commonly present at its diagnosis [1]. Autoantibodies specific to single tissue antigen like GAD 65, insulinoma-associated antigen-2, islet cell, and insulin have been identified in T1DM. [2-5]. Moreover, Islet reactive T cells and autoantibodies have been demonstrated in type 1 diabetes. Brooks-Worrell et al identified a group of adult autoimmune phenotypic type 2 diabetes patients who are 5 islet autoantibodies (ICA, GADA, IAA, IA-2A, ZNT8A) negative and positive islet reactive T cells [6]. Determination of these autoantibodies is usually used to screen the individuals at increased risk of developing diabetes [7]. The frequency of macrovascular complications was lower in islet cell antibody (ICA) positive diabetics than those with ICA negative [8]. In insulin-treated diabetics, the prevalence of humoral ICA was strongly dependent of the duration of the diabetes, being 60 per cent during the first year from diagnosis and falling to 20 per cent at two to five years and to 5 per cent at 10-20 years [9]. During prospective follow-up study of children with islet autoimmunity, Stene et al found that the increase in HbA1c predicted the increased risk of progression to T1D [10]. The metabolic profile was partially normalized after the seroconversion of autoantibodies. Autoimmunity

*Dept. of Immunology, College of Medicine, Hawler Medical University may thus be a relatively late response to the early lipid profile disturbances. Recognition of these preautoimmune alterations may aid in studies of disease pathogenesis and may open a time window for novel type 1 diabetes prevention strategies [11]. Type 2 diabetes (T2D) characterized by insulin resistance coupled with a non immune-mediated β -cell failure and relative insulin deficiency [12]. Latent autoimmune diabetes in adults (LADA) shared β-cell–directed biochemical markers of autoimmunity with "classic" type 1 diabetes i.e. documented by antibodies against GAD and treated with insulin earlier than in those with nonautoimmune diabetes mellitus [13]. Insulin sensitivity was severely impaired in autoantibodies negative (Ab^{-}) but not autoantibodies positive (Ab^{+}) patients and β-cell function was almost completely abolished in Ab^+ and not Ab^- type 2 diabetes [14]. Moreover, the Ab⁻ clinical diagnosis -type 2 diabetic patients had features consistent with the metabolic syndrome. Islet-cell Ab⁻ clinically diagnosed type 2 diabetic youth are characterized by severe insulin resistance and relative insulin deficiency, whereas Ab⁺ youth have severe insulin deficiency and β -cell failure [14]. This comparative study is aimed to explore the differences in the biochemical and immunological indexes in poorly controlled diabetics with (Ab⁻) and (Ab⁺) type 1 and clinically diagnosed type 2 diabetes.

Materials and methods:

This study is conducted in Martyr Layla Qasm center for diabetes mellitus in Erbil, Iraq. Known cases of insulin dependent diabetes (T1D) and clinically diagnosed type2 diabetes (T2D) of both gender attended the diabetic center for clinical follow-up, were enrolled in the study. The criteria of exclusion include history of familial hyperlipidemia, ischemic heart disease, chronic renal failure and patients on the lipid lowering agents.

The study is approved by the local scientific committee of college of Pharmacy, Hawler Medical University. A consent form was obtained from each participant prior to the study. A total number of 160 T1D and 75 T2D patients as well as 60 healthy subjects served as control were enrolled in the study. The body mass index (BMI) was (kg/m²) calculated according to the Quettlet's equation: BMI = weight (kg)/ height² (m). A fasting venous blood samples were obtained from participants and the sera were separated for determination of glucose, glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}%) and lipid profile including serum total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG) and high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-c). Very low density lipoprotein is equal to 1/5 TG level and the LDL-c is calculated according to the Friedewald {LDL=TC-(HDL+VLDL)}. equation Immunoglobulins IgG, IgM and IgA and islet cell antibodies (cut off absorbance point was 0.05 at wavelength 405 nm) were determined in serum using single radial immune-diffusion (SRID) and indirect enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique.

Statistical analysis: The results are expressed as number, percent and mean \pm SD. The data were analyzed using two tailed unpaired student's "t" test, difference between percentage test and simple correlation test taking $p \le 0.05$ as the lowest limit of significance

Results:

Table 1 shows that there is non significant difference diabetic patients with Ab⁺ and Ab⁻ between regarding the age and the number of female cases is higher than corresponding males cases in T1D and T2D. The body mass index of T2D is significantly higher than T1D and there is no significant difference between Ab⁺ and Ab⁻ in each type of diabetes. The duration of diabetes in Ab-T2D is significantly longer than corresponding Ab⁺ while in T1D the duration of illness is significantly longer in Ab⁺ compared to Ab⁻ patients [Table 1]. Family history of diabetes is reported in higher number in T2D than T1D. The results of biochemical testing revealed non significant differences between Ab⁺ and Ab in serum glucose, glycated hemoglobin and lipid profile [Table 2]. Significant high serum glucose and glycacated hemoglobin and low levels of lipid profile are observed in Ab⁺ T1D compared with Ab^+ T2D [Table 2]. The same findings are observed with Ab⁻ T1D compared with Ab⁻ T2D. Table 3 revealed non significant difference immunoglobulin levels between Ab⁺ and Ab⁻ in T1D and T2D. Ab⁺. The poor glycemic control represented by glycated hemoglobin is significantly correlated with body mass index and triglycerides level in T2D whether Ab⁺or Ab⁻ [Table 4]. Moreover, glycated hemoglobin in Ab T2D is significantly correlated with duration of illness. Significant inverse correlation between glycated hemoglobin and high density lipoprotein and positive correlation with triglycerides in Ab⁻ are found in T1D.

	Control	T2D	T2D Negative	Control	T1D	T1D
	(N=20)	Positive	ICA	(N=40)	Positive	Negative
		ICA	(N=47)		ICA	ICA
		(N=28)			(N=40)	(N=120)
Age	49.6 ± 5.6	40.7±4.04	41.1±4.37	27.1±7.8	21±9.4	22.5±9.3
Sex (M/F)	9/11	6/22	19/28	20/20	12/28	58/62
Residency	8/12	14/14	20/27		34/6	76/44
(urban/rural)	7/13	14/14	21/26		11/29	20/100
Socio-economical	29.47 ±	27.73±2.04	27.81±1.32		23.71±4.84	23.71±4.4
(2/3)	3.789	7.75±1.11	8.489±1.52*		9.722	6.211±2.96**
Body mass index					±1.042	
Duration of disease		9	22	-		30
Family history	-	11	18	-	18	20
First	-				6	
Second						

Table 1 Characteristics of the study

* p < 0.05, * p < 0.001 compare with corresponding positive ICA antibodies.

Tuble 2 Dioei	lenncai muex	65				
	Control	T2D	T2D Negative	Control	T1D	T1D Negative
	(N=20)	Positive ICA	ICA	(N=40)	Positive ICA	ICA
		(N=28)	(N=47)		(N=40)	(N=120)
Fasting	90.2±6.3	202.5 ± 17.7	216.4±28.9	91.4±5.9	394.2±61.1†	317.1±73.2§
serum	5.421±0.54	8.460±0.695*	8.757±0.727*	5.282 ± 0.484	9.722±1.042*†	9.406±1.234*§
glucose	208.8 ±	187.3±12.5***	191.7±15.4***	136.3±23.2	177±15.5*††	174.1±15.7*§
HbA1c%	36.9	174±16.8	180.2±19.5	133.6±23.2	148.4±20.3**†	152.9±16.9*§
Total	161.2 ±	43±3.2	41.2±2.4	56.6±7.5	41.8±5.2*	41.7±5.6*
cholesterol	47.8	143.9±10	147.4±12.8	98.2±8.5	138.9±13.4*	135.2±14.2*§
Total	45.4 ± 8.9					
triglycerides	128.9 ±					
HDL	33.7					
LDL						
VLDL						
Atherogenic						
index						
(TG/HDL)						

Table 2 Biochemical indexes

* p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01, ***p < 0.02 compared with corresponding control

† p < 0.001, †† p < 0.01 compared with corresponding T2D positive ICA

p < 0.001 compared with corresponding T2D negative ICA

Table 3 Immunological indexes

140100 1111110						
	Control	T2D	T2D	Control	T1D	T1D Negative
	(N=20)	Positive ICA	Negative	(N=40)	Positive ICA	ICA
		(N=28)	ICĂ		(N=40)	(N=120)
			(N=47)			`
ICA	0.0±0.0	0.1418±0.1260*	0.0 ± 0.00	0.02±0.013	0.096±0.035*†	0.0±0.0*
IgA	374±99.3	364.5±104.9	362±150.6	370.6±114.4	354.8±96.7	372.2±148.5
IgM	224.4±45.2	190.7±51.7***	179.6±55.7	219±44	192±49.7**	177.5±61.3*
IgG	1380±319.2	1097±326.8*	1097±394*	1364.5±285.3	1109.1±326.8*	1075.5±355.7*

* p < 0.001, ** p < 0.02, ***p < 0.05 compared with corresponding control

 $\dagger p < 0.001$ compared with corresponding T2D positive ICA

Table 4 The correlation between	glycemic control expressed a	as HbA 1c (%) and other indexes.
Tuble 1 The correlation between	gij cenne control enpressea a	

$HbA_{1c}(\%)$	T2Dpositive	T2D negative	T1Dpositive	T1D negative
	N=28	N=47	N=40	N=120
Body mass index	0.387*	0.390*	-0.064	-0.079
High density lipoprotein	-0.242	-0.267	-0.144	-0.437***
triglycerides	0.497**	0.791***	-0.110	0.213*
duration of diabetes	0.126	0.446**	-0.092	-0.153
IgG	-0.047	-0.040	-0.062	0.056
IgM	0.040	-0.020	-0.051	0.031
IgA	0.021	-0.230	0.117	-0.033

The results presented as correlation coefficient (r).

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Discussion:

The results of this study pointed out that the significant differences of biochemical and immunological tests between T1D and T2D are not related to the autoimmunity represented by positive islet cell antibodies. Regarding the age and type of diabetes, this study explores higher percent of Ab^+ patients in T2D and older patients. This finding does not agree the others. Several reports showed that higher percent of positive islet cell antibobdies were found among young T2D [15-17]. The presence of positive islet cell antibodies among T2D

indicated that those patients are belonged to the latent autoimmine diabetes in adults [LADA]. There is evidence that the glycated hemoglobin in T2D Ab^+ patients is significantly higher than those with T2D Ab^- patients [18]. In this study, T1D Ab^+ patients show significant difference in high glycated hemoglobin compared with T1D Ab^- . The lipid profile that pointed the presence of risk of cardiovascular events showed that both types of diabetes whether they have positive or negative ICA are similar. Recent study shows that high serum triglycerides and low HDL level are observed in

T1D pregnant women with pregnancy compared with T2D [19] and the macrovascular complications of diabetes are lower in T2D Ab^+ compared with Ab^- [20].

Glycated hemoglobin percent does not significantly differ between Ab⁺ and Ab⁻ in T1D or T2D which in agreement with Ekholm et al study who found that HbA1c did not differ between the Ab⁺ and Ab⁻ groups after 20 years follow-up [21]. Moreover, the present study adds another finding that HbA1c in T1D Ab⁺ is significantly higher than Ab⁻ while in T2D is significantly lower among Ab⁺. Oresic et al demonstrated that the autoimmunity is relatively late response to the early metabolic disturbances in T1D [22]. In the present study, metabolic disturbances in term of lipid profile do not show significant differences between Ab⁺ and Ab⁻ in T1D or T2D. Tcell autoimmunity can be detected in latent autoimmune diabetes in adult patients and the inverse correlation between humoral and cellular beta-cell autoimmunities was reported [23]. In this study, the immunoglobulins levels in Ab⁺ T1D or T2D do not differ from those with Ab⁻. It concludes that the autoimmunity in term of positive ICA not does not play a role in metabolic and immunological disturbances that associated with T1D and T2D.

References:

1. Gorsuch AN, Spencer KM, Lister J, McNally JM, Dean BM, Bottazzo GF, Cudworth AG.: Evidence for a long prediabetic period in type I (insulin dependent) diabetes mellitus. Lancet 1981; 2: 1363– 1365

2.Siljander H, Härkönen T, Hermann R, Simell S, Hekkala A, Salonsaari RT, Simell T, Simell O, Ilonen J, Veijola R, Knip M. Role of insulin autoantibody affinity as a predictive marker for type 1 diabetes in young children with HLA-conferred disease susceptibility. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2009; 25: 615-622.

3.Orban T, Sosenko JM, Cuthbertson D, Krischer JP, Skyler JS, Jackson R, Yu L, Palmer JP, Schatz D, Eisenbarth G. Pancreatic islet autoantibodies as predictors of type 1 diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Trial-Type 1. Diabetes Care 2009; 32:2269-2274

4. Achenbach P, Bonifacio E, Williams AJK, Ziegler AG, Gale EAM, Bingley PJ. ENDIT Group. Autoantibodies to $IA-2\beta$ improve diabetes risk assessment in high-risk relatives. Diabetologia 2008; 51: 488–492.

5. Achenbach P, Lampasona V, Landherr U, Koczwara K, Krause S, Grallert H, Winkler C, Pfluger M, Illig T, Bonifacio E, Ziegler A-G.: Autoantibodies to zinc transporter 8 and SLC3018 genotype stratify type 1 diabetes risk. Diabetologia 2009; 52: 1881–1888.

6. Brooks-Worrell BM, Reichow JL, Goel A, Ismail H, Palmer JP. Identification of autoantibody negative autoimmune Type 2 diabetes patients. Diabetes Care 2011;34:168-173 7. Bingley PJ, Gale EAM. European Nicotinamide Diabetes Intervention Trial (ENDIT) Group. Progression to type I diabetes in islet cell antibodypositive relatives in the European Nicotinamide Diabetes Intervention Trial: the role of additional immune, genetic and metabolic markers of risk. Diabetologia 2006; 49: 881–890.

8. Amrouche Ch, Jamoussi Kamoun H, Trabelsi N, Blouza Chabchoub S Latent autoimmune diabetes in Tunisian adults (LADA): identification of autoimmune markers. Tunis Med 2008; 86:316-318.

9. Irvine WJ, McCallum CJ, Gray RS, Campbell CJ, Duncan LJ, Farquhar JW, Vaughan H, Morris PJ. Pancreatic islet-cell antibodies in diabetes mellitus correlated with the duration and type of diabetes, coexistent autoimmune disease, and HLA type. Diabetes 1977; 26: 138-147

10.Stene LC, Barriga K, Hoffman M, Kean J, Klingensmith G, Norris JM, Erlich HA, Eisenbarth GS, Rewers M. Normal but increasing hemoglobin A_{1c} levels predict progression from islet autoimmunity to overt type 1 diabetes: Diabetes Autoimmunity Study in the Young (DAISY). Pediatr Diabetes. 2006; 7(5): 24

11.Orešič M, Simell S, Sysi-Aho M, Näntö-Salonen K, Seppänen-Laakso T, Parikka V, Katajamaa M, Hekkala A, Mattila I, Keskinen P, Yetukuri L, Reinikainen A, Lähde J, Suortti T, Hakalax J, Simell T,² Hyöty H, Veijola R, Ilonen J, Lahesmaa R, Knip M, Simell O. Dysregulation of lipid and amino acid metabolism precedes islet autoimmunity in children who later progress to type 1 diabetes. J Exp Med. 2008; 205(13): 2975–2984.

12. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2008; 31(Suppl. 1):S55–S60.

13. Turner R, Stratton I, Horton V, Manley S, Zimmet P, Mackay IR, Shattock M, Bottazzo GF, Holman R: UKPDS 25: autoantibodies to islet-cell cytoplasm and glutamic acid decarboxylase for prediction of insulin requirement in type 2 diabetes: UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Lancet 1997; 350:1288–1293

14. Tfayli H, Bacha F, Gungor N, Arslanian A.: Phenotypic type 2 diabetes in obese youth: insulin sensitivity and secretion in islet-cell antibody negative vs. antibody positive patients. Diabetes 2009; 58: 738–744

15. Libman IM, Pietropaolo M, Arslanian SA, LaPorte RE, Becker DJ.: Evidence for heterogeneous pathogenesis of insulin-treated diabetes in black and white children. Diabetes Care 2003; 26:2876–2882.

16. Umpaichitra V, Banerji MA, Castells S.: Autoantibodies in children with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2002;15(Suppl. 1):525–530.

17. Reinehr T, Schober E, Wiegand S, Thon A, Holl R.: the DPV-Wiss Study Group. Beta-cell autoantibodies in children with type 2 diabetes *mellitus: subgroup or misclassification? Arch Dis Child 2006; 91:473–477*

18. Davis TM, Wright AD, Mehta ZM, Cull CA, Stratton IM, Bottazzo GF, Bosi E, Mackay IR, Holman RR. Islet autoantibodies in clinically diagnosed type 2 diabetes: prevalence and relationship with metabolic control (UKPDS 70). Diabetologia. 2005; 48(4):695-702.

19. Göbl CS, Handisurya A, Klein K, Bozkurt L, Luger A, Bancher-Todesca D, Kautzky-Willer A. Changes in serum lipid levels during pregnancy in type 1 and type 2 diabetic subjects. Diabetes Care 2010; 33(9):2071-2073.

20. Amrouche Ch, Jamoussi Kamoun H, Trabelsi N, Blouza Chabchoub S. Latent autoimmune diabetes in Tunisian adults (LADA): identification of autoimmune markers. Tunis Med 2008; 86(4):316-318.

21. Ekholm E, Gottsäter A, Dahlin LB, Sundkvist G. No signs of progressive beta cell damage during

20 years of prospective follow-up of autoantibodynegative diabetes. Acta Diabetol 2011 Mar 17.

22. Oresic M, Simell S, Sysi-Aho M, Näntö-Salonen K, Seppänen-Laakso T, Parikka V, Katajamaa M, Hekkala A, Mattila I, Keskinen P, Yetukuri L, Reinikainen A, Lähde J, Suortti T, Hakalax J, Simell T, Hyöty H, Veijola R, Ilonen J, Lahesmaa R, Knip M, Simell O Dysregulation of lipid and amino acid metabolism precedes islet autoimmunity in children who later progress to type 1 diabetes. J Exp Med 2008 Dec 15.

23. Mayer A, Fabien N, Gutowski MC, Dubois V, Gebuhrer L, Bienvenu J, Orgiazzi J, Madec AM Contrasting cellular and humoral autoimmunity associated with latent autoimmune diabetes in adults. Eur J Endocrinol 2007;157(1):53-61