

Prevalence and Associated Factors of Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease among a Sample of Undergraduate Medical Students in Baghdad

DOI: https://doi.org/10.32007/jfacmedbagdad.6341865.

Sarah H. Abdulwahhab*	MBChB
Ban A.R. Al Hashimi**	FICMS.FM
Nawal M. Alkhalidi***	CABM.FICMS (GE&H)

© 0 S

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Abstract:

JFac Med Baghdad

2021; Vol.63, No. 4

Received: Aug., 2021

Accepted: Nov., 2021

Published: Jan., 2021

Background: Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a common gastrointestinal disorder in adults. It develops when the stomach contents reflux into the esophagus as a result of lower esophageal sphincter dysfunction. It is a multifactorial disease with both environmental and genetic risk factors as suggested by several epidemiological studies. The classical symptoms of GERD are heartburn, usually after eating, regurgitation and chest pain. There are very few studies on the magnitude of GERD among students. Most medical students who suffer from GERD and/or dyspeptic symptoms do not reach out to a doctor since they either consider these symptoms insignificant or do self-medication.

Objectives: This study was conducted to determine the prevalence of GERD among a group of medical students and the potential risk factors associated with it.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted on a sample of 300 undergraduate students of the College of Medicine / University of Baghdad by simple random sampling from 15th of January to 1st of June 2020. All participants answered online questionnaire for GERD symptoms. The questionnaire included demographic data (age and gender), anthropometric data (height and weight), and lifestyle and dietary habits. Data was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25. Results with a P value of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results: Of the sample studied, 216 (72%) were females and 84 (28%) were males. The mean \pm SD for age was 21.9 \pm 1.81 years and the prevalence of GERD was 13.3%. A positive family history of GERD was found in 86 (28.7%) of the participants which was statistically significant (P =0.001). Of the students studied, 28 (9.3%) were sleeping within one hour of eating a meal (P =0.001). Those taking antacids and PPIs were 38 (12.7%) and 41 (13.7%) respectively, with a significant association with GERD (P =0.001). Frequent belching was reported by 20 (6.7%) (P =0.001). No significant association was found between GERD score, general characteristics and dietary habits.

Conclusions: GRED Prevalence was 13.3%. Family history of GERD and late dinners were associated factors with GRED, while Oesphageal-Gastro-Dudenoscopy (OGD) was an associated diagnostic procedure, and belching was an associated symptom.

Keywords: Gastro-Esophageal Reflux, Students, Oesphageal-Gastro-Dudenoscopy, dietary habits

Introduction:

Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) is one of the most common gastrointestinal disorders that affect almost all age groups (1). It develops when the reflux of stomach content into the esophagus causes troublesome symptoms and /or complications (1).

Baghdad Teaching Hospital/ General directorate of the Medical City.

Correspondence E-mail: <u>shlmdshlmd@gmail.com</u>.

**AlDubbat PHCC for family physicians, Al-Rusafa health directorate.

*** Gastroenterology and hepatology teaching hospital in Baghdad city/ General directorate of the Medical City. The pathophysiology of GRED includes transient relaxation of lower esophageal sphincter, defects at the gastro-esophageal junction, lower esophageal Sphincter dysfunction and formation of hiatal hernia (2). It is a multifactorial disease with both environmental and genetic risk factors as suggested by several epidemiological studies (3). The classical symptoms of GRED are heartburn, usually after eating, regurgitation and chest pain (3). Younger age, female sex, family history, mental stress, smoking, alcoholism, fatty meals, shorter dinner to bed time, caffeine intake, carbonated soft drinks, drugs like Non-Steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs), obesity and elevated body mass index are known risk factors for this disorder(3-5). A study from Iraq showed that (40.6%) of the surveyed group reported heartburn or acid regurgitation(6). A limited number of studies are available regarding the prevalence and

magnitude of GRED in young adults, medical students in particular (4). Most medical students who suffer from GRED and/or dyspeptic symptoms do not reach out to a doctor since they either consider these symptoms insignificant or do self-medication (5). Studies showed that undergraduate medical students especially have high levels of stress. Possible factors such as difficulty in coping with the curriculum, staying away from home, disordered or poor eating habits may contribute to psychological distress(1). GRED may affect the quality of life and thus affect daily activities, college attendance, and students' wellbeing (5).

Methodology:

A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted on a sample of 300 undergraduate medical students from the College of Medicine / University of Baghdad from 15th of January – 1st of June 2020 using online questionnaire for GERD symptoms. The number of female students in the college was approximately double that of males; in addition; about half of male students that were selected randomly refused to participate in the study. The questionnaire included 1) like Demographic data age, gender, 2) Anthropometric data (height, weight and Body Mass Index (BMI)), 3) lifestyle and dietary habits and 4) Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease Questionnaire (GERDQ) which consisted of six questions about GERD symptoms(2).

Inclusion criteria: Students attending the College of medicine / University of Baghdad during the period of the study. The number of female students in the college was approximately double that of males and about half of male students who were selected randomly refused to participate in the study.

Exclusion criteria: Those who have not completed the questionnaire by the end of the study, or were unwilling to participate.

Approval was obtained from the Arab Board Committee and the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research.

For each student and before filling the questionnaire online, the aim of the study was explained, the permission of the participant was sought and the privacy of each participant was taken into consideration. The data was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25. A P- value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Scoring: Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease Questionnaire (GERDQ) was used, it is a type of ordinal scale and used generally to assess GERD symptoms. Scoring for symptoms/ week:

None = 0 For 1 Day symptoms = 1 For 2-3 days symptoms = 2 For 4-7 days symptoms = 3

It is a questionnaire that has been developed as a patient-centered self-assessment and to assist the health care providers in assessing the symptoms of the disease and making the diagnoses of GERD. Those with GERDQ score of ≥ 8 were considered to have GERD, while others who got a score of <8 were not (2).

Results:

Participants' age ranged from 18 to 24 years with a mean \pm SD of 21.9 \pm 1.81 years. The majority were between 21-24 years (71%) and females (72%) with a male to female ratio of 1:2.6. Two thirds had a normal BMI (66%), Table (1).

Table 1: Distribution of studied participants bygeneral characteristics

Characteristics	Categories	No. 300)	(n=	Percentage
	≤20	71		23.6
Age (Years)	21-24	213		71.0
	>24	16		5.4
Gender	Male	84		28.0
	Female	216		72.0
BMI	Normal	198		66.0
	Underweight	18		6.0
	Overweight	66		22.0
	Obese	18		6.0
Grade	First	10		3.3
	Second	38		12.7
	Third	43		14.3
	Forth	36		12.0
	Fifth	114		38.0
	Sixth	59		19.6

The distribution of studied participants by dietary habits is shown in figure (1). The participants said that they were consuming fast fried food (59.3%), three meals/day (57.7%), eating meals quickly in less than 10 minutes (52.7%), having meals on regular times infrequently (49.3%), spicy food frequently in the last three months (48.3%), soft drinks frequently in last three months (28%), skipping meals daily (23%), energetic drinks infrequently in the last 3 months (18.7%), more than three cups of tea or coffee/day (16%), and midnight snacks daily (13.7%).



Figure 1: Distribution of studied participants by their dietary habits

Figure 2 shows the distribution of studied participants by social, medical, family, drug, and surgical history. Of the participants, 5% were current smokers, 1% were alcohol consumers, 9.3% were sleeping within one hour after dinner, 14.3% were diagnosed with medical diseases, 28.7% had a positive family history of heartburn/regurgitation/GERD, 20.3% were taking NSAIDs, 12.7% were taking antacids, and 13.7% were taking PPI like omeprazole. Lack of physical activity was seen in 39%, 10.3% had had previous Oesophageal-Gastro-Dudenoscopy (OGD), and 6.7% were suffering from belching, but infrequently.



Figure 2: Distribution of studied participants by social, medical, family, drug and surgical history

Table (2) shows the distribution of the results of GERD score and general characteristics, with no statistical significant associations ($P \ge 0.05$).

Characteristics	Categories	Results of GERI	O Score	Total (%)	P - Value
		Greater (%) n= 40	Low (%) n= 260	n= 300	P - Value
Age (Years)	< 20	12 (16.9)	59 (83.1)	71 (23.7)	
	21 - 24	26 (12.2)	187 (87.8)	213 (71.0)	0.598
	≥ 24	2 (12.5)	14 (87.5)	16 (5.3)	
Gender	Male	7 (8.3)	77 (91.7)	84 (28.0)	0.112
	Female	33 (15.3)	183 (84.7)	216 (72.0)	0.112
BMI	Normal	30 (15.2)	168 (84.8)	198 (66.0)	
	Underweight	1 (5.6)	17 (94.4)	18 (6.0)	0.438
	Overweight	6 (9.1)	60 (90.9)	66 (22.0)	0.450
	Obese	3 (16.7)	15 (83.3)	18 (6.0)	
Grade	First	2 (20.0)	8 (80.0)	10 (3.3)	
	Second	6 (15.8)	32 (84.2)	38 (12.7)	
	Third	6 (14.0)	37 (86.0)	43 (14.3)	0.958
	Forth	4 (11.1)	32 (88.9)	36 (12.0)	0.758
	Fifth	14 (12.3)	100 (87.7)	114 (38.0)	
	Sixth	8 (13.6)	51 (86.4)	59 (19.6)	

Table (3) shows the distribution of the results of GERD score by dietary habits, with no significant associations (P ≥ 0.05).

Dietary habits	Categories	Categories Results of GERD Score			P - Value
-		Greater (%)	Low (%)	n= 300	
		n= 40	n= 260		
Fast fried food in the	Frequently / Daily	12 (6.7)	166 (93.3)	178 (59.3)	0.171
past 3 months	Infrequently	12 (13.5)	77 (86.5)	89 (29.7)	
	Never	4 (12.1)	29 (87.9)	33 (11.0)	
Spicy food in the past	Frequently / Daily	15 (10.3)	130 (89.7)	145 (48.3)	0.149
3 months	Infrequently	9 (22.0)	32 (78.0)	41 (13.7)	
	Never	16 (14.0)	98 (86.0)	114 (38.0)	
Soft drinks in the past	Frequently / Daily	9 (10.7)	75 (89.3)	84 (28.0)	0.527
3 months	Infrequently	29 (14.9)	165 (85.1)	194 (64.7)	
	Never	2 (9.1)	20 (90.9)	22 (7.3)	
Tea / coffee daily	Never	5 (13.2)	33 (86.8)	38 (12.7)	0.962
	1-3 Cups Daily	28 (13.1)	186 (86.9)	214 (71.3)	
	> 3 Cups Daily	7 (14.6)	41 (85.4)	48 (16.0)	
Energetic drinks/week	Infrequently	11 (19.6)	45 (80.4)	56 (18.7)	0.123
	Never	29 (11.9)	215 (88.1)	244 (81.3)	
Skipping meals	Frequently / Daily	12 (17.4)	57 (82.6)	69 (23.0)	0.356
usually	Infrequently	16 (10.7)	134 (89.3)	150 (50.0)	
	Never	12 (14.8)	69 (85.2)	81 (27.0)	
Having midnight snacks	Frequently / Daily	4 (9.8)	37 (90.2)	41 (13.7)	0.731
	Infrequently	20 (13.3)	130 (86.7)	150 (50.0)	
	Never	16 (14.7)	93 (85.3)	109 (36.3)	
Eating quickly <10 minutes	Yes	16 (10.1)	142 (89.9)	158 (52.7)	0.08
	No	24 (16.9)	118 (83.1)	142 (47.3)	
Number of meals per day	Two	20 (19.6)	82 (80.4)	102 (34.0)	0.599
	Three	18 (10.4)	155 (89.6)	173 (57.7)	
	More than three	2 (9.1)	20 (90.9)	22 (7.3)	
Having meals on	Frequently / Daily	20 (17.9)	92 (82.1)	112 (37.3)	0.203
regular times	Infrequently	16 (10.8)	132 (89.2)	148 (49.3)	
	Never	4 (10.0)	36 (90.0)	40 (13.4)	

Table 3: Association between results of GERD score and dietary habits

Table (4) shows the association between the results of GERD score with medical, surgical, drug, family, and social history. The prevalence of greater likelihood of GERD was significantly increased (P= 0.001) in participants who were sleeping within one hour of dinner (39.3%), in those who had positive family history of heartburn/regurgitation/GERD (31.4%, P=0.001), and among participants who took antacids and PPI (omeprazole) (34.2% and 43.9% respectively, P= 0.001 for both). The prevalence of greater likelihood of GERD was highest among participants who had any previous OGD (25.8%) with a significant association (P= 0.03). As for belching, 40% of those who were suffering from daily belching had greater likelihood of GERD with a significant association (P= 0.001).

Table 4: Distribution of the participants by theresults of GERD score and social, medical, family,drug and surgical history

0 0		·			
History of	Catego ries			Total (%)	P - Val
		Greate	Low	n= 300	ue
		r (%)	(%)		
		n= 4	n= 260		
Smoking	Yes	3	12	15	0.4
U		(20.0)	(80.0)	(5.0)	35
	No	37	248	285	
		(13.0)	(87.0)	(95.0)	
Drinking	Yes	0 (0)	3	3 (1.0)	0.4
Alcohol		• (•)	(100.0	- ()	94
)		
	No	40	257	297	-
		(13.5)	(86.5)	(99.0)	
Sleeping	Yes	11	17	28	0.0
within one hour	105	(39.3)	(60.7)	(9.3)	01
after dinner	No	29	243	272	
unter uniner	110	(10.7)	(89.3)	(90.7)	
Diagnosed	No	38	242	280	0.6
with any	NO	(13.6)	(86.4)	(93.3)	49
medical	YES	2	18	20	· -/
disease	115		(90.0)		
Having	Yes	(10.0) 27	(90.0)	(6.7) 86	0.0
U	105				0.0
positive family history	N.	(31.4)	(68.6)	(28.7)	. 01
liistory	No	13	201	214	
7T 1 1	V	(6.1)	(93.9)	(71.3)	0.7
Taking drugs	Yes	9	52	61	0.7
(NSAIDs:		(14.8)	(85.2)	(20.3)	. 14
ibuprofen)	No	31 (13)	208	239	
		10	(87)	(79.7)	0.0
Taking drugs	Yes	13	25	38	0.0
(antacids)		(34.2)	(65.8)	(12.7)	01
	No	27	235	262	
		(10.3)	(89.7)	(87.3)	
Taking drugs	Yes	18	23	41	0.0
(PPI:		(43.9)	(56.1)	(13.7)	01
omeprazole)	No	22	237	259	
		(8.5)	(91.5)	(86.3)	
Exercising	No	16	101	117	0.9
physically		(13.7)	(86.3)	(39.0)	45
(weekly)	Once	15	102	117	
		(12.8)	(87.2)	(39.0)	
	2-3	7	39	46	
	Times	(15.2)	(84.8)	(15.3)	
	> 3	2 (10)	18 (90)	20	
	Times			(6.7)	
Having any	Yes	8	23	31	0.0
(OGD)		(25.8)	(74.2)	(10.3)	03
(UUU)			237	269	
(000)	No	32			
	No	32 (11.9)	(88.1)	(89.7)	
Suffering from	No Freque		(88.1) 12		0.0
		(11.9)	(88.1)	(89.7)	0.0 01
Suffering from	Freque	(11.9) 8	(88.1) 12	(89.7) 20	
Suffering from belching per	Freque ntly	(11.9) 8 (40.0)	(88.1) 12 (60.0) 143	(89.7) 20 (6.7)	
Suffering from belching per	Freque ntly Infrequ	(11.9) 8 (40.0) 17	(88.1) 12 (60.0)	(89.7) 20 (6.7) 160	

Discussion:

The prevalence of GERD in our study was 13.3% which is very close to the result reported by Kathrik in South India(1) of 14.4% and that reported by Firoozi in Shiraz, Southern Iran(7) of 15.4%. However Sharma reported GERD prevalence to be 25% among medical students in India(5) and Alrashed reported the prevalence to be 23.8% in Saudi Arabia(3). The lower prevalence in our study may be explained by the small sample size and the short duration of study (6 months). Hassan from Iraq reported a prevalence of 33%(8) while Al Obeidy, also from Iraq, reported that GERD and lax cardia rate was (8.8-15%).(9) Some reports have shown that college students, in particular, have a higher prevalence of GERD when compared to the general population.(10, 11) This is likely due to the fact that they are more exposed to various GERD risk factors, namely, stress and higher caffeine consumption.(12, 13) Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is one of the most common gastrointestinal disorders that affect almost all age groups(1). In the present study, we could not find an association between gender and GRED. The published literature has no agreement on this point. The majority of studies including a systematic review done in 2015 and a population-based study done in Arar, Saudi Arabia in 2017 reflected no relationship between the two.(14, 15) However, a study in southern India conducted on 1072 participants reported a significant association between male gender and GERD.(16) No association between high BMI and GERD was detected in our sample, while Murray in the UK(6) found that being overweight or obese substantially increases the likelihood of heartburn and regurgitation. Our result may be attributed to the low number of overweight and obese participants and the small sample size. Other studies showed a positive association, explained by the increase of thoracoabdominal pressure gradient and the reduction in the lower esophageal sphincter pressure.(17-19) Regarding dietary habits, no significant association with GERD was found in our study, in contrast to the results of Song in South Korea (20) who found a significant association. Atta(2), on the other hand found no association between tea/coffee consumption and GERD symptoms, while the study of Arivan in India(4) and Wei in Taiwan(21) both found that frequent tea /coffee consumption was associated with GERD. Regarding smoking habits, our results showed no association between smoking and having GERD. A high prevalence of unhealthy habits was reported by Alrashed (3) compared to our result and to other studies in Saudi Arabia and Iran.(22, 17) Alcohol consumption is a well-known risk factor for GERD.(23, 17, 18) In the current study no significant association was found, in contrast to the results of Ness-Jensen(24) and other studies(1, 2, 5) which found a strong association between alcohol consumption and GERD. This could be attributed to the low number of participants consuming alcohol in our study mostly due to religious and social barriers to alcohol intake in the community. Sleeping within one hour of dinner was found to be significantly associated with GRED in our study similar to the results of Atta (2), Sharma(5),

Song(20), Jarosz(25) and Firoozi(7). In the present study, a positive family history of GERD/ heartburn/ regurgitation was found to be significantly associated with GRED, similar to other studies (2, 3, 26, and 27). This suggests a genetic susceptibility and/ or similar environmental factors among family members leading to disease emergence. Intake of antacids and PPIs as a symptomatic treatment for GERD relief was statistically significant similar to other studies (1, 2, 5, 24, 28). Physical activity was not associated with GERD in our study and similar to the results of a Swedish study in 2012. (29) In the current study, OGD was done in a tenth of the cases, which was significant, suggesting the emerging role of OGD in the management of GERD in patients with alarming symptoms or detection of complications (30). Belching was found to be an important symptom of GERD in our study similar to the study of Lin in California, USA (27).

Conclusions:

GERD prevalence in our study was found to be 13.3%. Family history of GERD and late dinners were associated with GRED occurrence. Oesphageal-Gastro-Dudenoscopy (OGD), and belching were also associated with GRED as a diagnostic approach and an associated symptom respectively.

Authors contributions:

Sarah Hilmi Abdulwahhab: writing the project, collecting data, writing draft, and research. Ban Abdul Ridha Al Hashimi: 1st supervisor Nawal Mehdi Alkhalidi: 2nd supervisor

References

1. Karthik RC, Balaji SM, Arumgam B, Sriramrm, Ezhilvanan M. Prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux disease among medical students in Chennai, south India. IJFCM;2017;4(3)P:199-203. [internet].[cited 2019 November 23]. Available from https://www.innovativepublication.com

/journals/IJFCM/article-

details/5017/volume/143/issue/416

2. Atta MM, Sayed MH, Azayed M, Alsulami SA, Almaghrabi AT, Kelantan AY. Gastro-esophageal reflux disease symptoms and associated risk factors among medical students, Saudi Arabia. Int J Gen Med;2019;12 P:293-298 [internet]. [cited 2019 November 29]. Available from https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/31692498.

3. Alrashed AA, Aljammaz KI, Pantan A, Mandili AA, Almatrafi SA, Almotire MA, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of gastroesophageal reflux disease among Shaqra university students, Saudi Arabia.JFMPC;2019;8(2)P:230-234 [internet]. [cited 2019novmber 21]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov /pmc/articles/PMC6436310

4. Arivan R, Deepanjali S. Prevalence and risk factors gastroesophageal reflux disease among of undergraduate medical students from southern Indian medical school: cross sectional а P:448-452 [internet].[cited *study.BMC*;2018;11(1) 2019 November 10]. Available from: https://bmcresnotes.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.118 6/s13104-018-3569-1

5. Sharma A, Sharma CP, Puri BP. Prevalence and risk factors of gastroesophageal reflux disease in medical students. MJAFI;2018;74(3)P:250-254. [internet][cited 2019 November 23]. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/medicaljournal-armed-forces-india/vol/74/issue/3

6. Murray L, Johnston B, Lane A, Harvey I, Donovan J, Nair P, et al. Relationship between body mass index and gastroesophageal reflux symptoms: The Bristol Helicobacter project. Ije [internet].2003;32(4):P:645-650.[cited 2020 August 15]. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/ije/article/32/4/645/666982 7. Firoozi M S, Khademolhosseini F, Yousefi M, Mehrabani D, Zare N, Heydari S T. Risk factors of gastroesophageal reflux disease in Shiraz, Southern Iran. Wig [internet].2007;13(41):P:5486-5491.[cited August 2020 15]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC65590 35

8. Hassan R, Kamal Z, Al Marzook T, Hussein W. Open Access Esophagogastroduodenoscopy. JFacMedBagdad [Internet]. 3Oct.2010 [cited 20Aug.2021];52(3):269-73. Available from: https://iqjmc.uobaghdad.edu.iq/index.php/19JFacMed Baghdad36/article/view/972

9. Al Obeidy A. Gastrointestinal Manifestation and Alcoholic. JFacMedBagdad [Internet]. 3Apr.2005 [cited 20Aug.2021];47(1):17-0. Available from: https://iqjmc.uobaghdad.edu.iq/index.php/19JFacMed Baghdad36/article/view/1671

10. Almuntashiri AH, Alghamdi SA, Alharthi FR, Elnemr GMH, Masoodi I. The predictors of Gastroesophageal Reflux disease among university students: A cross -sectional study in the western region of Saudi Arabia. Egypt J Hosp Med 2018;73:5828 -38. 11. Martinucci I, Natilli M, Lorenzoni V, Pappalardo L, Monreale A, Turchetti G, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux symptoms among Italian university students: Epidemiology and dietary correlates using automatically recorded transactions. BMC Gastroenterol 2018;18:116.

12. Song EM, Jung H-K, Jung JM. The association between reflux esophagitis and psychosocial stress. Dig Dis Sci 2013;58:471-7.

13. El-Serag HB, Sweet S, Winchester CC, Dent J. Update on the epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: A systematic review. Gut 2014;63:871-80.

14. Nusrat S, Nusrat S, Bielefeldt K. Reflux and sex: What drives testing, what drives treatment? Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012;24:233 -47.

15. Alsulobi AM, El-Fetoh NM, Alenezi SG, Alanazi RA, Alenazy RH, Alenzy RH, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux disease among the population of Arar City, Northern Saudi Arabia. Electronic Physician 2017;9:5499-505.

16. Wang HY, Leena KB, Plymoth A, Hergens MP, Yin L, Shenoy KT, et al. Prevalence of gastro-esophageal reflux disease and its risk factors in a community-based population in southern India. BMC Gastroenterol 2016;16:36.

17. Islami F, Nasseri-Moghaddam S, Pourshams A, Poustchi H, Semnani S, Kamangar F, et al. Determinants of gastroesophageal reflux disease, including hookah smoking and opium use– a cross-sectional analysis of 50,000 individuals. PLoS One 2014;9:e89256.

18. Saadi TA, Idris A, Turk T, Alkhatib M. Epidemiology and risk factors of uninvestigated dyspepsia, irritable bowel syndrome, and gastroesophageal reflux disease among students of Damascus University, Syria. J Epidemiol Glob Health 2016;6:285-93.

19. Sharma PK, Ahuja V, Madan K, Gupta S, Raizada A, Sharma MP. Prevalence, severity, and risk factors of symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux disease among employees of a large hospital in Northern India. Indian J Gastroenterol 2011;30:12834.

20. Song JH, Chung SJ, Lee JH, Kim YH, Chang DK, Son HJ, et al. Relationship between Gastroesophageal reflux symptoms and dietary factors in Korea. JNM [internet].2011;17(1):P:54-60. [cited 2020 August 15].Available from:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles /PMC6559035

21. Wei TY, Hsueh PH, Wen SH, Chen CL, Wang CC. The role of tea and coffee in the development of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Tzu Chi Medical journal [internet].2019;31(3):P:169-176. [cited 2020 August 15]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

pmc/articles/PMC6559035

22. Alkhathami AM, Alzahrani AA, Alzhrani MA, Alsuwat OB, Mahfouz MEM. Risk factors for gastroesophageal reflux disease in Saudi Arabia. Gastroenterol Res 2017;10:294-300.

23. Chan WW, Saltzman JR. Gastroesophageal reflux disease. In: Greenberger NJ, Blumberg RS, & Burakoff R. Current diagnosis & treatment, gastroenterology, hepatology & endoscopy.3rd ed.UK: The McGraw-Hill ; 2016. P:157-165 24. Ness-Jensen E, Lagergren J. Tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and gastro-esophageal reflux disease. Best Pract Res clin Gastroenterol. [internet].2017;31(5):P:501-508[cited 2020 August 15]. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article

/abs/pii/S1521691817300999

25. Jarosz M, Taraszewska A. Risk factors of gastroesophageal reflux disease: the role of diet. Prz Gastroenterol. [internet].2014;9(5):P:297-301. [cited 2020 August 15]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

/pmc/articles/PMC4223119

26. Esmaillzadeh A, Keshtell AH, Feizi A, Zarbaf F, FeinleBisset C, Adibi P. Pattern of diet-related practices and prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux disease. NMO [internet].2013;25(10):P:638-831. [cited 2020 August 15]. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/nmo.1 2192

27. Nishida T, Tsuji S, Tsujii M, Sato SAT, Haruna Y, Miyamoto T, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux disease related to diabetes: analysis of 241 cases with type 2 diabetes mellitus. JGH[internet].2004;19(3):P:258-265. [cited 2020 August 15]. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1440 -1746.2003.03288.x

28. Djarv T, Wikman A, Nordenstedt H, Johar A, Lagergren J and Lagergren P. Physical activity, obesity and gastroesophageal reflux in the general population. World J Gastroenterol[internet].2012;18(28):P:3710-3714.[cited 2020 August 15]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC34064 23

29. Murao T, Sakurai K, Mihara S, Marubayashi T, Murakami Y, Sasaki Y. Lifestyle change influences on GERD in Japan: A study of participants in a health examination program. Dig Dis Sci 2011;56:2857-64.

30. Lin M, Triadafilopoulos G. Belching: dyspepsia or
gastroesophageal reflux disease? ACG.
[internet].2003;98(10):P:2139-2145[cited 2020
August 15]. Available from:

https://www.sciencedirect.com

/science/article/abs/pii/S0002927003005380

مدى الإنتشار والعوامل المصاحبة لمرض الإرتداد المعدي المريئي لدى عينة من طلاب كلية الطب في بغداد

د. ساره حلمي عبد الوهاب / مقيمه قدمي طب اسره / مستشفى بغداد التعليمي

د. بان عبد الرضا الهاشمي/ استشاري طب الاسرة/مركز صحي الضباط / دائرة صحة الرصافة- بغداد

د. نوال مهدي الخالدي: اختصاص الطب الباطني /تخصص دقيقَ في الجهاز الهضمي /مستشفى الجهاز الهضمي التعليمي في مدينة الطب / دائرة صحة مدينة الطب- بغداد

الخلاصة

المقدمة: الإرتجاع المعدي المريئي هو اضطراب معدي مريئي شائع لدى البالغين، يحدث عندما ترجع محتويات المعدة وترتفع إلى المريء نتيجة خلل في العضلة العاصرة السفلية للمريء. كما إنه مرض متعدد العوامل مع عوامل الخطر البيئية والوراثية وتدعم العديد من الدراسات الوبائية هذا المفهوم. الأعراض التقليدية لمرض الارتجاع المعدي المريئي هي حرقة في المعدة، عادة بعد الأكل والإرتجاع وألم في الصدر. تم إجراء عدد قليل جدًا من الدراسات حول حجم الارتجاع المريئي بين الطلاب. لا يتواصل معظم طلاب الطب الذين يعانون من إرتجاع وألم في الصدر. تم إجراء عدد يعتبرون هذه الأعراض غير مهمة أو يقومون بالتطبيب الذاتي. هدفت الدراسة إلى تحديد مدى إنتشار مرض ارتجاع المريء لدى عينة من طلاب كلية الطب /جامعة بغداد وعوامل الخطورة المحتملة المرتبطة به.

الطريقة: دراسة وصُفيةُ مقطعية أُجريت على طلبةُ السنة المنتهية في كلية الطب / جامعة بغداد خلال الفترة الممتدة من 15 كانون الثاني – 1 حزيران 2020. أجاب جميع المشاركين على الإستبيان عبر الإنترنت حول أعراض الإرتجاع المريئي. تضمن الاستبيان بيانات ديموغرافية (العمر والجنس) وأنثروبومترية (الطول والوزن) ونمط الحياة والعادات الغذائية. تم تحليل البيانات الإحصائية باستخدام الحزمة الإحصائية للعلوم الإجتماعية واعتبرت النتائج ذات القيمة الإحتمالية الأقل من 0.05 ذات أهمية إحصائية.

النتائج: من بين الطلاب كان 216 (%72) من الإناث و 84 (%28) من الذكور يعانون من الإرتجاع المعدي المريئي، وكان متوسط عمر المشاركين 9.21 ±1.81 سنة. كان معدل إنتشار إرتجاع المريء %3.13، وكان 86 (%7.28) من المشاركين لديهم تاريخ عائلي إيجابي للإرتجاع المعدي المريئي وكان لديهم إر تباط إحصائي كبير بأعراض إرتجاع المريء (3.13 الاحتمالية = 0.00)، وكان 28 (%3.2) ينامون بعد ساعة واحدة من تناول الوجبة (القيمة الاحتمالية = 0.00) وكان مستخدمو مضادات الحموضة ومثبطات مضخة البروتون 38 (%1.21) و 14 (%7.51) على التوالي (القيمة الاحتمالية لكل منهم = 0.001)، وكان 20 (%7.60) يعانون من التجشؤ المتكرر (القيمة الاحتمالية = 0.001) التي لها علاقة ذات دلالة إحصائية. خضع 31 (10 منهم لنظور المرئ والمعدة والاثنى عشر (القيمة الاحتمالية = 0.001) ولا توجد علاقة ذات أهمية إحمائية بين نتائج إستبيان الإرتجاع المعدي المريئي والخصائية لكل منهم المرئ والمعدة والاثنى عشر (القيمة الاحتمالية = 0.001) ولا توجد علاقة ذات دلالة إحصائية.

الاستنتاج: وجد أن إنتشار إرتجاع المريء بين %3.13 من الطلاب فقط. كان التاريخ العائلي للإصابة بالإرتجاع المعدي المريئي وتناول العشاء في وقت متأخر مرتبطان بالحالة، بينما كان تنظير المريء والمعدة مرتبطا كفحص تشخيصي، والتجشؤ مرتبطا كعامل مؤدي إلى تفاقم إرتجاع المريء. ا**لكلمات المفتاحية:** الإرتجاع المعدي المريئي، التنظير الهضمي العلوي، العادات الغذائية