# The Role of Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibodies in Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

Mohammed S. Mahde\*, I. Al-Shamma \* Khalida M. Mousawy \*Ph.D A Sheikhly \* A.. Laith \*

# Summary:

**Background:** A recently demonstrated antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) are reported to have a role in the pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) which is associated with variable number of autoantibodies, most commonly having specificity for the cellular nuclear antigens.

**Objectives:** To study the prevalence of ANCA in SLE patients and their possible association with most common clinical manifestations of the disease.

J Fac Med Baghdad 2005; Vol. 47, No.4 Received April 2004 Accepted Jun.. 2004 **Patients and methods:** the study was conducted on 35 SLE patients attended the Renal Clinic in the Private Nursing Hospital/Medical City /Baghdad during the study period and compared with age and sex matched healthy control group. Enzyme linked immunosorbant assay was used for the detection of ANCA subspecificities antiproteinase 3 (anti-PR3) and antimyeloperoxidase (anti-MPO) antibodies.

**Results and conclusion:** Anti-PR3 was detected in 34.3% and anti-MPO in 2.8% of patients. ANCAs were associated with certain clinical manifestations of SLE, particularly vasculitis (PO.0005), neuropsychiative manifestations (PO.0005) and serositis (P < 0.025). Whether ANCAs plays a direct role in the pathogenesis of SLE or it only represents an epiphenomenon remains to be elucidated.

Keywords: ANCA and SLE.

## Introduction:-

It is generally accepted that systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is associated with variable number of autoantibodies, most commonly specific for the cellular nuclear antigens (1,2,3). Among those are the ANA and anti-ds DNA, which are thought to play a crucial role in the pathogensis of SLE. Moreover, a recently demonstrated antineutrophilic cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) are reported to add another Jigsaw piece to the pathogenesis of this mysterious disease (4,5).

These ANCA represent a group of antibodies directed against cytoplasmic components of neutrophil granulocytes and monocytes (6). The main primary (azurophilic) granuless proteins are the enzyme myeloperoxidase (MPO) and the serine proteases, elastase, cathepsin-G and proteinase-3 (PR3) (7).

In this study, we tried to shed light on the prevalence of ANCA in SLE patients with special emphasis on subspecificities antimyelperoxidase (anti-MPO) and antiproteinase-3 (anti-PR3) and possible association of these antibodies with the most common clinical manifestations of the disease:-

#### \* coll. Of Med., univ. of Baghdad, Iraq

## **Patients:**

The study was conducted on 35 patients with SLE who fulfilled four or more of the ACR criteria for the classification of SLE, 10 of them were associated with secondary vasculitis, and compared with age and sex matched healthy control group.

#### Methods:

Enzyme linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA) was used for the detection of anti-MPO and anti-PR3 subspecificities of ANCA.

Microplates are coated with highly purified MPO and PR3 antigens respectively, and the principles of the tests based on the formation of sandwich complex and enzymatic color reaction.

1-Anti-PR3 ELISA kit (Biomaghreb no .80518)

2-Anti-Mpo ELISA kit (Biomaghreb no .80519)

#### **Results:**

Our study showed that anti-PR3 antibodies were detected in 12 (34.3%) patients with SLE, while anti-MPO antibodies were detected in only one (2.8%) patient, but ANCA were not detected in healthy controls (table 1 and figure 1).

1

Table 1: Detection of anti-PR3 and anti-MPO levels in study

	Rate of detectable ANCA levels					
Study group	Anti-PR3 = 5	level cut off IU/ml	Anti-Mpo level cut off= 5 IU/ml			
	N	%	N	%		
SLE (n=35)	12	(34.3)	. 1	(2.8)		
Healthy controls (n=35)	0	(0)	0	(0)		



Figure 1: Frequency curve showing distribution of anti-PR3 and anti-Mpo among SLE cases

Table 2: Detection of anti-PR3 and anti-M	lpo in
SLE patients according to clinical manifes	tation

Prevalence of detectable ANCA level										
		Anti-PR3			Anti-Mpo					
		N	%	$P(X^2)$	N	%	$P(X^2)$			
1	Renal			P>0.4 [NS]			>0.5			
	Absent (n=9)	4	(44.4)		0	(0)	>0.5 [NS]			
	Present (n=26)	8	(30.7)	1.000	1	(3.8)	[]			
2	Vasculitis			< 0.0005			205			
	Absent (n=25)	4	(16)	very high	1	(4)	>0.5 [NS]			
	Present (n=10)	8	(80)	sig.	0	(0)				
3	Neuro- psychiatric			<0.0005 very high sig.			>0.5 [NS]			
	Absent (n=26)	2	(7.7)		1	(3.8)				
	Present (n=9)	7	(77.7)		0	(0)				
4	Musculo-skeletal			>0.05 [NS]			<0.025 sig.			
	Absent (n=5)	0	(0)		1	(20)				
	Present (n=30)	12	(40)		0	(0)				
5	Constitutional						>0.5 [NS]			
	Absent (n=7)	2	(28.5)		0	(0)				
	Present (n=28)	10	(35.7)		1	(3.5)				
6	Haematologic			505			>0.3 [NS]			
4	Absent (n=20)	6	(30)	>0.5 [NS]	1	(5)				
	Present (n=15)	6	(40)		0	(0)				
7	Serositis			<0.025 sig.			>0.5			
11 M	Absent (n=26)	6	(23)		1	(3.8)	>0.5 [NS]			
	Present (n=9)	6	(66.6)		0	(0)				

\* All patients with SLE presented with mucocutaneous features

The rate of detectable anti-PR3 was significantly higher among those patients with secondary vasculitis (80%, PO.0005), neuropsychiatric manifestations (77.7%, P<0.0005), and those with serositis (66.6%, PO.025). Detectable levels were also observed in patients with other clinical manifestations, but in low percentages, as musculoskeletal manifestations (40%) (P>0.05) and renal manifestations (30.7%)

### **Discussion:**

(P>0.4), (Table-2)

Our study showed that there was no significant discrepancy in the clinical manifestations of SLE patients with other studies in Iraq and abroad (8,9).

Serum level of anti-PR3 was detected in 34,3% of patients, which is inconsistent with other studies reported abroad where anti-PR3 antibodies were either detected in low percentage, or not detected at all (10,11,12,13,14,15,16). This difference is probably due to genetic, environmental, or other precipitating factors.

On the other hand, there was an interesting correlation between the detectable levels of anti-PR3 and the clinical manifestation of SLE, and this was demonstrated by the statistically higher levels of anti-PR3 antibodies among those patients with secondary vasculitis (P,0.0005). This is may be because the hallmark of SLE is the inflammation of small sized blood vessels. Moreover, an interesting correlation was observed between the detectable anti-PR3 levels and neuropsychiatric manifestations (77.7%), and it was also statistically significant (PO.0005). These findings agreed with a similar study reported by Sanna-G et.al.(17).

Whether anti-PR3 plays a direct role in pathogenesis of SLE, or it is only an epiphenomenon remains to be elucidated.

Anti-Mpo antibodies detected in only one (2.8%) patient with SLE, and this result agreed with many other similar studies done abroad (12,14,15).

#### **References:**

1-Hahn BH: SLE and related syndromes: Pathogenesis of SLE in: Kelly WN, Ruddy S, Harris ED, and sledge, CB (edds). Textbook of Rheumatology (5<sup>th</sup> edd) Saunders WB company: 105-107:1997.

2-Brounwald, Fauci H, Auser. Systemic lupus erythematosus: Harrisons principles of internal medicine  $(15^{11} \text{ edd})$ , p: 1922-1926; 2000.

3-Ropes MW: SLE. Cambridge. Harvard University Press, 1976.

4-Jemette JC, Falk RJ.DNCA and associated diseases: A review.AmJ. Kidney dis., 15(6): 517-529; 1990.

5-Hagen EC, Ballieux BEPB, Van ES, LA, Daha MR et. al. ANCA. A review of the antigens involved the assays and the clinical and possible pathogenetic consequences. Blood 81,1996;2002.

6-Kallenberg CGM, Brouwer E, Weening JJ, diognostic and pathophysiological potential. Kidney int.,46:1-15:1994.

7-Wiik A, Stumman L, Kjeldsen L, Borregoard N, Ullman S et.al. The diversity of perinucleas antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (P-ANCA) antigens. Clin.

6

# Exp. Immunol. 101, supp, l: 15-17;1995.

8-Peter J, Maddison, David A, Isemberg. SLE: Oxford textbook of Rheumatology.2<sup>nd</sup> edd. P:1145-1176;1998.

9-Al-Rawi ZS, AL-Shaarbul H, AL-Raheem E, Kalifa SJ. Clinical features of early cases of SLE in Iraqi patients. British Journal. Rheumat. 22:164-171; 1983.

10- Galeazzi M, Morozzi G, Sebastiani GD, Bellisai F, Marcolongo R, et.al. ANCA in 566 uropean patients with SLE: Prevalence, Clinical associations and correlation with other autoantibodies. Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.;16(5):541-6;Sep.Oct.1998.

11-Schnabel A, Csernok E, Isemberg DA, Mrowka G, Gross WLANCA in SLE prevalence, Specificities and clinical significance. Arthritis.Rheum., 38(5):633-7; May 1995.

12-Spronk PE, Bottsman H, Horst G, Huitema MG, Limburg PC et.al. ANCA in SLE.Br.J.Rheumatol.35(7):625-31; Jul.1996. 13-Merkel PA, Polisson RP, Chang Y, Skafes SJ, Niles JL. Prevalence of ANCA in a large inception Cohort of patients with connective tissue disease.Ann.Intern. Med. 126(11):866-73; Jul.1997.

14-Nishiya K, Chikazawa H, Nishimura S, Hisakawa N, Hashimoto K. ANCA in patients with SLE is unrelated to clinical features .clin.Rheumatol.16(1):70-5;Jan.1997.

15-Zhao MH, Liu N, Zhang YK, Wang HY. ANCA and their targets antigens in Chinese patients with lupus nephritis. Nphrol.Dial.Transplant.13 (11):2821-4; Nov. 1998.

16-Fu HL, Hsu TC, Chang C C,Tsay G J.Antigenic specificity of ANCA.J-Formos.Med.Ass. 100(1):35-9; Jan.2001.

17-Sanna G,Piga M, Terryberry J W, Peltz M T, Giaghedu S, Satta L, et al. Central nervous system involvement in SLE.Cerebral imaging and serological profile in patients with and without overt neuropsychiatric manifestations.Lupus.9 (8):573-83; 2000.