# **Original Article**

## Investigation of Circulating anti-Coxsackie B, anti- Polio and anti-Adeno IgG in newly diagnosed T1DM Children

Eman M. Saleh\* ph.D Nidhal abdul mohymen\*\* Ph.D

### **Summary:**

**Back ground:** Viruses may be involved in the pathogenesis of Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM), either through direct  $\beta$ -cell infection or as triggers of autoimmunity.

**Objective:** To investigate the presence of specific anti- viral IgG antibodies for Coxsackie virus type B (CVB5), Poliovirus, and Adenovirus which proposed to be involved in the etiology of T1DM.

Fac Med Baghdad 2007; Vol.49, No.4 Received July 2006 Accepted Jun.2007

**Subjects & methods:** A total of 60 Iraqi T1DM children were included in the presents study. They were new onset of the disease (diagnosis was from one week up to five months). For the purpose of comparisons, 50 apparently healthy control subjects were selected. Serum IgG against Coxsackie virus type  $B_5$ , Adenovirus type 3, 4, and 7, and Poliovaccin Trivalent were detected quantitatively with an indirect ELISA.

**Results:** High proportion of anti-CVB5 IgG (20%)(p<0.05) and anti-Polio IgG (31.67%) were found in T1DM children compared to controls (8%, 26% respectively), while anti-Adeno IgG were detected in diabetic patients only (6.67%)(p<1.0001).

Key Words: T1DM, Anti- CVB5 IgG, Anti- Polio IgG, Anti-Adeno IgG.

#### Introduction:

Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) is characterized by destruction of  $\beta$ - cells in Pancreatic islets. The process which finally leads to complete beta cell loss and onset of clinical disease starts years before any clinical symptoms and it is thought to result from several factors involving host genes, autoimmune responses and cytokines, as well as environmental factors (1).

\* Department of Microbiology, Al-Kindy College of Medicine, Baghdad University. \*\* Department of Microbiology College of

\*\* Department of Microbiology, College of Medicine, Al- Nahrain University

The evidence that viral infection might cause T1DM is derived from studies where virus particles known to cause cytopathic or autoimmune damage to  $\beta$  cells have been isolated from the Pancreas (2). Several viruses have been implicated including Enteroviruses (EVs) that have been indicated to be associated with the onset of T1DM in both epidemiological, serological as well as by the studies of the viral antigen (3). A definite isletcell tropism of EVs was demonstrated in the human Pancreas (4). The EVs of human origin include: Coxsackie viruses of group A (CVA), type 1-24 (there is no type 23); Coxsackie viruses of group B (CVB), type 1-6; Polioviruses, type 1-3; Echoviruses, type 1-33 (no type 10, 22, 23 or 28) and Enteroviruses, type (68-71) (5). Enteroviruses are transient inhabitants of the human alimentary tract and

may be isolated from the throat or lower intestine (6). Many investigators reported a frequent occurrence of EV mRNA in serum samples taken from children at the time of diagnosis (7). A longitudinal study conducted by Buschard and Madsbad, 1984 found that CVB4 antibody titer fell from the diagnosis and the 5 months to the 2 years study in T1DM patients, and the average titer was also lower than in healthy control individuals. In contrast, another investigators were demonstrated high levels of specific IgM antibodies to CVB in most newly diagnosed T1DM children (9, 10). In Finland another study found that non of the children vaccinated against Poliomyelitis had antibodies to the diabetes associated epitope to tyrosine phosphatase IA2, but the same diabetic children had high levels of specific IgM antibodies to Poliovirus derived VP1 peptide at onset of T1DM (11).

Adenoviruses are divided into six groups (A-F) containing 41 serotypes. They commonly infect human causing acute illness, mainly of the respiratory (the common cause of colds with fever) and intestinal tract (6).

In order to gain more understanding about the role of viral infection with initiation of T1DM, T1DM Iraqi children were serologically studied for the presence of anti-CVB5, anti- Polio and anti- Adeno IgG.

### Subjects, Materials and Methods:

Sixty Iraqi T1DM children were subjected to this study. The patients were attending to National Diabetes Center at Al-Mustansiriya University/ College of Medicine during the period May 2004 to October 2005. Their ages range from 3 -17 years, and they were new onset of the disease (diagnosis was from one week up to five months). Diagnosis of Diabetes Mellitus and selection of patients was accomplished with the assistance of the consultant medical staff in the National Diabetes Center according to the criteria as listed in the report of the expert committee on the diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus (12). All the patients were treated with daily replacement doses of insulin at the time of blood sampling. For the purpose of comparisons, 50 apparently healthy control subjects matched for age (4-17) years old and sex were selected who have no history or clinical evidence of type 1 diabetes or any chronic diseases and obvious abnormalities as a control group.

Three milliliter of blood was collected into plain test tubes, then the serum was separated by centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 10 min., divided into aliquot and kept at -20°C until used. Three viruses were used in the present study includes Coxsackie type B<sub>5</sub> KBR-CF antigen (Virion, France), Adeno KBR-CF antigen type 3, 4, and 7 (Virion, France), and Polioral Trivalent, Chiron, S.P.A. (WHO, Aventis). Standardization was carried out for the three tested viral antigens, antisera includes positive and negative human CVB<sub>5</sub> sera, positive and negative human aAenovirus France), sera (Virion, positive human Poliovirus sera obtained from vaccinated children, negative human Poliovirus sera obtained from old person (more than 60 years old), and anti-human IgG with Horse-Reddish Peroxidase (HRP) conjugate, (Sigma. Germany).

Pooled positive human oliovirus sera was obtained from 10 individual samples of vaccinated children (5 vac<sup>+</sup>) with a period not less than 3-4 weeks from the last vaccine given to the child. The pool antisera was then divided into small amount and stored at -20°C (13). Checker board test was done for the determination of optimal concentration of antigens, optimal antisera dilution and optimal anti-human conjugate dilution.

The following concentrations and dilutions were specified by standardization procedure:

- Optimal concentration for  $CVB_5$  antigens = 50  $\mu$ g/ml.
- For Adenovirus 3, 4, 7 antigens =  $12.5 \mu g/ml$ .
- For oral Poliovirus vaccine concentration = 1:2.
- Optimal serum dilution for CVB<sub>5</sub>, Adenovirus and oral Poliovirus vaccine =1:2.
- Optimal anti-human IgG conjugate dilution = 1/1000.

Serum IgG against  $CVB_5$ , Polio and Adenovirus antigens can be detected quantitatively with an indirect ELISA as described by (14, 11). The microtiter plates were coated by 50 µl of each of the tested viral antigen solution in carbonate buffer pH 9.6. The plates were incubated overnight at 4°C, washed twice with posphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20, then blocked with 275 µl 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS to prevent non-specific binding at room temperature for 30 min. The plates were washed 3 times with PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 and were then incubated with 50 µl of human serum (1:2 dilution) in incubation buffer (PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween and 1% BSA) at 37°C for 1 or 2 hrs. The plates were washed as above, and antibodies were detected by peroxidase- conjucated antihuman IgG at a dilution of 1:1000. Cutoff value at each run was calculated by getting the mean of OD reading for the 8 wells that contains negative anti-viral antibodies (control negative) plus 2 standard deviation (SD). Sample value lie below the cutoff value (mean negative + 2 SD) were considered negative. Those who were equal or greater than cutoff value were considered positive (15).

Statistical analysis was performed by using Chi Square test.

#### **Results:**

#### Serological Finding for Anti-Viral IgG Anti-CVB<sub>5</sub> IgG in T1DM Patients:

The value which is equal or higher than cutoff OD value (0.138) considered as sero positive. Only 12 patients out of 60 were sero-positive (20%) compared to 4 healthy individuals out of 50 (8%) who were seropositive for anti-CVB<sub>5</sub> IgG. These differences were statistically significant (P=0.048) (table -1).

Table-1: Prevalence of se	ero positive / negative IgG against CVB <sub>5</sub> in control
and T1DM j	patient groups.

Virus Groups		No.	Sero positive		Sero negative		P.
v II US	Groups	190.	No.	%	No.	%	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub>
	Controls	50	4	8.0	46	92.0	0.048
CVB <sub>5</sub>	T1DM	60	12	20.0	48	80.	(S)

Chi= 2.994

#### Anti-Polio IgG

As shown in table (2), out of 60 patients 19 (31.67%) were sero-positive for anti-Polio-IgG compared to 13 (26%) healthy

controls, so no difference appeared between both groups (P = 0.649). The value which is equal or more than cutoff OD value (0.178) is considered as sero positiv

Table -2: Prevalence of sero positive / negative IgG against Poliovirus in control andT1DM patient groups

Vinue	Groups	No.	Sero positive		Sero negative		D
v II US			No.	%	No.	%	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub>
	Controls	50	13	26.0	37	74.00	0.649
Polio	T1DM	60	19	31.67	41	68.33	(NS)
Polio	TIDINI	60	19	31.67	41	68.33	

Chi = 0.207

#### Anti-Adeno IgG

As shown in table (3), only 4 patients were sero positive for anti-Adeno IgG (6.67%) compared with the control group who were all sero-negative. The values which were equal or

higher than 0.2 cutoff (OD) value are considered as sero-positive. This differences were highly significant between the two groups (P=0.000).

 Table -3: Prevalence of sero positive / negative IgG against Adenovirus in control and T1DM patients groups

Virus	Groups	No.	Sero positive		Sero negative		D
v II US	Groups	190.	No.	%	No.	%	<b>r</b> <sub>1</sub>
	Controls	50	0	0	50	100.00	0.000
Adeno	T1DM	60	4	6.67	56	93.33	(HS)

#### **Discussion:**

Viral involvement has long been suggested in the etiology of T1DM. The present results described finding of IgG antibodies against CVB<sub>5</sub> to be more frequent (20%) in T1DM patients than in controls (8%). A low prevalence of specific CVB-IgG may be due to use only one CVB serotype (CVB<sub>5</sub>) and there may be another CVB serotype in the sera of T1DM patients which is not detected. The frequency of IgG antibodies against Poliovirus (Oral sabin) was more (31.67%) in diabetic patients than in controls (26%). Also IgG antibodies against Adenovirus were detected in only four diabetic children (6.67%).

The presence of CVB5, Poliovirus and Adenovirus specific IgG antibodies may be evidence of previous infection in T1DM children.

The low prevalence of anti-Polio-IgG determined in healthy children may indicate a failure of Poliovaccine to enhance the immune system, although these children presumely had taken many boosted doses of oral Poliovaccine.

Several studies have found CVB-specific IgM antibodies to be more common in newly diagnosed children compared to healthy individuals (9, 10). Others detected an increase of anti-Enterovirus antibody levels (both IgM and IgG) preceding the appearance of signs of autoimmunity reflected either by synthesis of several autoantibodies or the development of clinical disease (16).However, not all studies seeking association between Enterovirus the infections (determined by increase in anti-EV antibodies)

have reported positive results. No evidence of increased antibody frequencies against CVB1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 serotypes was found at the onset of childhood diabetes (17, 18). Lower antibody titer against CVB3-5 serotypes and Adenovirus 7 were demonstrated in newly diagnosed T1DM children than in healthy controls (8).

These discrepancies could be due to the fact that in all these studies, the determination of viral infection was carried out indirectly through the determination of anti-viral antibodies and it is noteworthy that studies used multiple approaches to identify these viruses (serology, PCR, Faeces analysis) appear more likely to report an association with T1DM or islet autoimmunity, suggesting that the sensitivity of viral detection is an important factor.

Enteroviurses could be involved in the pathogenesis of T1DM by several different mechanisms: During infection, viruses may reach the pancreatic islet and destroy insulinproducing β-cells by virus-induced cytolysis (19). Alternatively,  $\beta$ -cell damage might result from virus-induced inflammatory reactions through producing inflammatory cytokines (IL-1 $\beta$ , IFN- $\alpha$ ... etc.) (20). In addition  $\beta$ -cell destruction might be based on molecular because immunological mimicry. crossreactions between Enteroviruses and  $\beta$  cell autoantigens (GAD-65, Tyrosin phosphatease IAR/IA3) can take place at least in vitro (11).

#### **Recommendations:**

Using molecular techniques (PCR) to identify the viral infection in addition to

serological methods which appear more likely to report an association with T1DM.

### **References:**

- 1. Boic, B.: Diabetes and Autoimmunity. The Journal of International Federation of Clinical Chemistry (JIFCC). 2004; 13(5): 1-9.
- 2. Yoon, J. W.; Austin, M.; Onodera, T. and Notkins, A.: Isolation of a virus from the pancreases of a child with diabetic ketoacidosis. N. Engl. J. Med. 1979; 300: 1173-1179.
- Dahliquist, G.G.: Viruses and other perinatal exposures as initiating events for β-cell destruction. Annals of Medicine. 1997; 29: 413-417.
- 4. Yilpaasto, P.; Klingel, K.; Lindberg, A.M.; et al., : Enterovirus infection in human pancreatic islet cells, islet tropism in vivo and receptor involvement in cultured islet beta cells. Diabetologia. 2004; 47: 225-239.
- 5. Brooks, G.F.; Butel, J.S. and Morse, S.A.: Jawetz, Melnick and Adelberg's Medical Micorobiology. 22<sup>th</sup> edition. A lange medical book. McGraw Hill Company. 2001; PP 418-432.
- 6. Nester, E.W.; Anderson, D.G.; Roberts, C.E.; Pearsall, N.N. and Nester, M.T.: Microbiology, a human perspective. 4<sup>th</sup> edition. McGraw-Hill Companies. USA.2004; PP. 343-344.
- Craig, M.E.; Robertson, P.; Howard, N.J.; Silink, M. and Rawlinson, W.D.: Diagnosis of Enterovirus infection by genus-specific PCR and Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay. J. of Clin. Microbiol. 2003; 41(2): 841-844.
- 8. Buschard, K. and Madsbad, S.: A longitudinal study of virus antibodies in patients with newly diagnosed type I (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. J. Clin. Lab. Immunol. 1984; 13(2): 65-70.
- 9. Juhela, S.; Hyöty, H.; Roivainen, M.; et al.,: T-cell responses to Enterovirus antigen in children with type I diabetes. Diabetes. 2000; 49: 1308-1313.
- 10. Yin, H.; Berg, A.K.; Tuvemo, T. and Frisk, G.: Enterovirus RNA is found in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in a majority of type I diabetic children at onset. Diabetes. 2002; 51: 1964-1971.

- Härkönen, T.; Paananen, A.; Lankinen, H.; Hovi, T.; Vaarala, O. and Roivainen, M.: Enterovirus infection may induce humoral immune response reacting with islet cell autoantigens in human. J. Med. Virol. 2003; 69: 426-440.
- 12. The Expert Committee of Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus: Diabetes Care. 2003; Suppl. 1: S5-S20.
- 13. Abdul-Karim, E.T.: Levels of Immunoglobulins in the community against Poliovirus and factors that might affect it. Ph.D. Thesis. 2000;Saddam College of Medicine. Saddam University.
- 14. Davidkin, I.; Valle, M.; Peltola, H.; et al.,: Etiology of Measles and Rubella-like illnesses in Measles, Mumps and Rubellavaccinated children. The Journal of Infectious Disease. 1998; 178: 1567-1570.
- Voller, A.; Bidwell, D. and Bartlett, A.: Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay. In: manual of clinical immunology. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Rose N. R. and Friedman. H. (Editior). Wahsington, D.C; American Society of Microbiology. 1980; PP: 359-371.

16. Lönnort, M.; Knip, M.; Roivainen, M.; Koskela, P.; Akerblom, H. K. and Hyöty, H.: Onset of type I diabetes mellitus in infancy after Enterovirus infection. Diabet. Med. 1998; 15: 431-434.

- 17. Tuvemo, T.; Dahliquist, G.; Frisk, G.; et al., and the Swedish childhood diabetes study III: IgM against Coxackie B viruses in newly diagnosed type-1 (Insulin-dependent) diabetic children-no evidence of increased antibody frequency. Diabetologia. 1989; 32: 745-747.
- 18. Emekdas, G.; Rota, S.; Kustimur, S. and Kocabeyoglu, O.: Antibody levels against Coxsackie B viruses in patients with type I diabetes mellitus. Mikrobiyol. Bul. 1992; 26(2): 116-20.
- Roivainen, M.; Rasilainen, S.; Ylipaasto, P.; et al.,: Mechanisms of Coxsackie virusinduced damaged to human pancreatic βcells. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2000; 85(1): 432-440.
- 20. Chehadeh, W.; Kerr-Coute, J.; Pattou, F.; et al.,: Persistent infection of human Pancreatic islet by Coxsackie virus B is associated with alpha interforn synthesis in  $\beta$ -cells. J. Virology. 2000; 74(21): 10153-10164.